



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,067	12/30/2003	Nikolai G. Nikolov	6570P034	9096
8791 7590 01/16/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			EXAMINER KENDALL, CHUCK O	
			ART UNIT 2192	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/750,067

Applicant(s)

NIKOLOV ET AL.

Examiner

Chuck O. Kendall

Art Unit

2192

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-57 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/013/06.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to Application filed 12/30/03.
2. Claims 1 – 57 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Avakian et al. US 2005/0039187 A1.

Regarding claims 1 and 39, Avakian anticipates a byte code modification method and machine readable medium, comprising:

modifying a method's byte code instructions, said method comprising an entry point and an exit point (FIG. 8B, 830 and 832 and all associated text), said modifying comprising inserting first and second additional byte code instructions into said method's byte code instructions, said first additional byte code instruction to cause a first output function to be executed for said method as a consequence of said entry point being reached during runtime, said second additional byte code instruction to cause a second output function to be executed for said method as a consequence of

said exit point being reached during runtime (0061-0063, see in 0061, BIP tool 38, which writes the modified classes also see BIC tool 26).

Regarding claims 2 and 40, the byte code modification method of claim 1 wherein said first output function records a time of said entry point being reached (0132, see ARM interface 44 “keeps track of the time elapsed between start and the end of the transaction”).

Regarding claims 3 and 41, the byte code modification method of claim 2 wherein said first output function records input parameters provided to said method (0062, shows the BIP and BIC tools which shows reading, writing and modifying).

Regarding claims 4 and 42, the byte code modification method of claim 1 wherein said first output function records input parameters provided to said method (0062, shows the BIP and BIC tools which shows reading, writing and modifying).

Regarding claims 5 and 43, the byte code modification method of claim 1 wherein said second output function records a time of said exit point being reached (0132, see elapsed time).

Regarding claims 6 and 44, the byte code modification method of claim 5 wherein said second output function records output parameters provided by said method (0062, see pattern file 31, which contains descriptors for classes and/or method that are to be included or excluded from implementation).

Regarding claims 7 and 45, the byte code modification method of claim 1 wherein said second output function records output parameters provided by said method (0062, see pattern file 31, which contains descriptors for classes and/or method

Art Unit: 2192

that are to be included or excluded from implementation, also see BIP and BIC tools which writes/output the files).

Regarding claims 10 and 48, the byte code modification method of claim 1 further comprising compiling source code prior to said modifying to produce said method's unmodified byte code instructions (0051).

Regarding claims 11 and 49, the byte code modification method of claim 1 wherein said byte code instructions are capable of being interpreted by a Java virtual machine (0051).

Regarding claims 12 and 50, the byte code modification method of claim 1 wherein said first additional byte code instruction is to invoke a second method that refers to a dictionary, said dictionary having an entry for said method that identifies the location of a plug-in module containing a handler method that performs said first output function (FIG. 2, 27A).

Regarding claims 13 and 51, the byte code modification method of claim 12 wherein said method's byte code instructions are capable of being interpreted by a Java virtual machine, and, said first additional byte code instruction is an invoke static instruction [0146, see static hook].

Regarding claims 14 and 52, the byte code modification method of claim 12 wherein said method's byte code instructions are capable of being interpreted by a Java virtual machine, and, said first additional byte code instruction is an invoke virtual instruction [0180, see virtual instructions].

Regarding claims 15 and 53, the byte code modification method of claim 12 wherein said method's byte code instructions are capable of being interpreted by a Java virtual machine, and, said first additional byte code instruction is an invoke special instruction [0058. see JVM, note java is an interpreted language hence interpreting by the JVM is inherent also see 0093 for invoke special).

Regarding claims 16 and 54, the byte code modification method of claim 12 wherein said second additional byte code instruction is to invoke a third method that refers to said dictionary, said dictionary having an entry for said method that identifies the location of a plug-in module containing a handler method that performs said second output function [0093 and 0065, discloses instrumentation tool 38 and plug-in instruments which perform handler functions).

Regarding claims 17 and 55, the byte code modification method of claim 16 wherein said handler method that performs said first output function and said handler method that perform said second output function are the same handler method [0093 and 0065, discloses instrumentation tool 38 and plug-in instruments which perform handler functions).

Regarding claims 18 and 56, the byte code modification method of claim 1 wherein said modifying further comprises inserting a third additional byte code instruction, said third additional byte code instruction to cause a third output function to be executed for said method as a consequence of an error arising during execution of said method [0147, see further exception handling].

Regarding claims 19 and 57, the byte code modification method of claim 1 wherein said modifying further comprises inserting an additional byte code instruction for each of said method's exit points to cause a second output function to be executed for said method as a consequence of any of said method's exit points being reached [0108].

Regarding claim 20, Avakian anticipates a byte code modification and distributed statistical recording method [0047, see application server, monitoring and analyzing performance], comprising:

modifying a method's byte code instructions, said method comprising an entry point and an exit point, said modifying comprising inserting first and second additional byte code instructions into said method's byte code instructions, said first additional byte code instruction to cause a first output function to be executed for said method as a consequence of said entry point being reached during runtime, said second additional byte code instruction to cause a second output function to be executed for said method as a consequence of said exit point being reached during runtime (0061-0063, see in 0061, BIP tool 38, which writes the modified classes also see BIC tool 26);

executing said method during runtime so as to execute said first and second output functions, said executing of said first and second output functions causing information concerning said method to be registered [0196]; and,

translating said information to a format employed within a distributed statistical records ("DSR") system [0047].

Regarding claim 21, which claims similarly to claim 2, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 22, which claims similarly to claim 3, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 23, which claims similarly to claim 4, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 24, which claims similarly to claim 5, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 25, which claims similarly to claim 6, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 26, which claims similarly to claim 7, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 29, which claims similarly to claim 10, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 30, which claims similarly to claim 11, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 31, which claims similarly to claim 12, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 32, which claims similarly to claim 13, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 33, which claims similarly to claim 14, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 34, which claims similarly to claim 15, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 35, which claims similarly to claim 16, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 36, which claims similarly to claim 17, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 37, which claims similarly to claim 18, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 38, which claims similarly to claim 19, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Avakian et al. US 2005/0039187 A1 in view of Berry et al. US 6,662,359 B1.

Regarding claims 8 and 46, Avakian discloses all the claimed limitations as applied in claim 1 above. Avakian doesn't expressly disclose wherein said first output

Art Unit: 2192

function increments a counter maintained for said method. However, Berry does disclose incrementing a counter which maintains an instrumentation sequence of code (FIG.5, 514). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Avakian and Berry because, it would enable keeping track of the instrumented code.

Regarding claims 9 and 47, the byte code modification method of claim 1 wherein said second output function increments a counter maintained for said method (Berry, FIG.5, 514).

Regarding claim 27, which claims similarly to claim 8, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Regarding claim 28, which claims similarly to claim 9, see rationale above as previously addressed.

Correspondence information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-272-3698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Art Unit: 2192

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ck.

Chuck Kendall 01/08/07